>>> Topological invariant of 4-manifolds based on a 3-group

>>> 2023 Workshop on Gravity, Holography, Strings and Noncommutative Geometry, Belgrade, Serbia

Name: Tijana Radenković[†] (Institute of Physics Belgrade) Date: 3. February 2023.

This research was supported by the Science Fund of the Republic of Serbia, grant 7745968, ''Quantum Gravity from Higher Gauge Theory 2021'' - QGHG-2021. The contents of this publication are the sole responsibility of the authors and can in no way be taken to reflect the views of the Science Fund of the Republic of Serbia.





>>> A sketch of the talk

- 3-group and 3-gauge theory
 - → based on R. Picken and J. Faria Martins, Diff. Geom. Appl. 29, 179 (2011), arXiv:0907.2566.
- \blacktriangleright 3BF action
 - → Models with relevant dynamics T. Radenković and M. Vojinović, J. High Energy Phys.10, 222 (2019), arXiv:1904.07566.
- ▶ Quantization of the topological 3BF theory
 - \Rightarrow the state sum Z is an example of Porter's TQFT for d=4 and n=3T. Porter, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)58, No. 3, 723 (1998), MR 1678163.
- Pachner move invariance
 - \rightarrow The construction of the state sum Z and a proof that the 3BF state sum is invariant under Pachner moves.
 - T. Radenković and M. Vojinović, arXiv: 2201.02572.
 - \hookrightarrow This is a generalization of the state sum based on the classical 2BF action with the underlying 2-group structure

F. Girelli, H. Pfeiffer and E. M. Popescu, Jour. Math. Phys. 49, 032503 (2008), arXiv:0708.3051.

Conclusions

>>> 3-groups

2-crossed module
$$(L \xrightarrow{\delta} H \xrightarrow{\partial} G, \triangleright, \{_, _\}_p)$$

- * Groups G, H, and L;
- * maps ∂ and δ ($\partial \delta = 1_G$);
- * an action \triangleright of the group G on all three groups;
- * a map $\{_,_\}_{\mathrm{p}}$ called the Peiffer lifting:

 $\{_,_\}_{\mathbf{p}}: H \times H \to L \,.$

Certain axioms hold true among all these maps:

- 1. $\delta(\{h_1, h_2\}_p) = \langle h_1, h_2 \rangle_p$, $\forall h_1, h_2 \in H$,
- 2. $[l_1, l_2] = \{\delta(l_1), \delta(l_2)\}_p$, $\forall l_1, l_2 \in L$. Here, the notation $[l, k] = lkl^{-1}k^{-1}$ is used;
- 3. $\{h_1h_2, h_3\}_{p} = \{h_1, h_2h_3h_2^{-1}\}_{p}\partial(h_1) \triangleright \{h_2, h_3\}_{p}, \quad \forall h_1, h_2, h_3 \in H;$
- 4. $\{h_1, h_2h_3\}_{\mathbf{p}} = \{h_1, h_2\}_{\mathbf{p}}\{h_1, h_3\}_{\mathbf{p}}\{\langle h_1, h_3 \rangle_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1}, \partial(h_1) \triangleright h_2\}_{\mathbf{p}}, \quad \forall h_1, h_2, h_3 \in H;$
- 5. $\{\delta(l),h\}_{p}\{h,\delta(l)\}_{p} = l(\partial(h) \triangleright l^{-1}), \quad \forall h \in H, \forall l \in L.$

^{[1.} C:\Program Files\Preliminaries\3-groups.dll]\$ _

>>> The 3BF theory

One can now generalize the notion of parallel transport from curves to surfaces and volumes.

* Given a 2-crossed module, one can define a <u>3-connection</u>, an ordered triple (α, β, γ) , where α , β , and γ are algebra-valued differential forms,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \alpha^{\alpha}{}_{\mu} \tau_{\alpha} \, \mathrm{d}x^{\mu} \,, & \alpha \in \mathcal{A}^{1}(\mathcal{M}_{4}, \mathfrak{g}) \,, \\ \beta &= \beta^{a}{}_{\mu\nu} t_{a} \, \mathrm{d}x^{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{\nu} \,, & \beta \in \mathcal{A}^{2}(\mathcal{M}_{4}, \mathfrak{h}) \,, \\ \gamma &= \gamma^{A}{}_{\mu\nu\rho} T_{A} \, \mathrm{d}x^{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{\rho} \,, & \gamma \in \mathcal{A}^{3}(\mathcal{M}_{4}, \mathfrak{l}) \,. \end{aligned}$$

$$(1)$$

* Then introduce the line, surface and volume holonomies,

$$g = \mathcal{P} \exp \int_{\gamma} \alpha, \quad h = \mathcal{P} \exp \int_{S} \beta, \quad l = \mathcal{P} \exp \int_{V} \gamma.$$
 (2)

* The corresponding fake $3\text{-}curvature~(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G},\mathcal{H})$ is defined as:

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathrm{d}\alpha + \alpha \wedge \alpha - \partial\beta, \qquad \mathcal{G} = \mathrm{d}\beta + \alpha \wedge^{\triangleright} \beta - \delta\gamma, \mathcal{H} = \mathrm{d}\gamma + \alpha \wedge^{\triangleright} \gamma + \{\beta \wedge \beta\}_{\mathrm{pf}}.$$
(3)

>>> The 3BF theory

At this point one can construct the so-called 3BF theory.

* For a manifold \mathcal{M}_4 and the 2-crossed module

 $(L \xrightarrow{\delta} H \xrightarrow{\partial} G, \triangleright, \{_, _\}_{pf})$, that gives rise to 3-curvature $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$, one defines the 3BF action as

$$S_{3BF} = \int_{\mathcal{M}_4} \langle B \wedge \mathcal{F} \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} + \langle C \wedge \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}} + \langle D \wedge \mathcal{H} \rangle_{\mathfrak{l}}.$$
(4)

- * 3BF theory is a topological gauge theory,
- * it is based on the 3-group structure,
- it is a generalization of an ordinary BF theory for a given Lie group G.
- * Physically relevant models

The constrained 2BF actions for

- Yang-Mills field,
- * and Einstein-Cartan gravity,

and constrained 3BF actions describing

- * Klein-Gordon field,
- Dirac field,
- Weyl fields,
- * and Majorana fields

coupled gravity in the standard way are formulated.

st Curves are labeled with the elements of G, and the elements are composed as



* Surfaces are labeled with the elements $h \in H$. We split the boundary into two curves, the source curve $g_1 \in G$ and the target curve $g_2 \in G$,



so that the surface $h \in H$ satisfies:

$$\partial(h) = g_2 g_1^{-1}$$
 .

* Volumes are labeled with the elements $l \in L$. We split the boundary into the source surface $\partial_3^-(l) = h_1$ and the target surface $\partial_3^+(l) = h_2$, and the common boundary of h_1 and h_2 we split into the source curve $\partial_2^-(l) = g_1$ and the target curve $\partial_2^+(l) = g_2$,



[3. C:\Program Files\Preliminaries\3-gauge theory.dll]\$ _

* Upward composition. The upward composition of 3-morphisms (g_1, h_1, l_1) and (g_1, h_2, l_2) , when they are compatible, when $\partial_3^+(l_1) = \partial_3^-(l_2)$,



 $(g_1, h_2, l_2) #_3(g_1, h_1, l_1) = (g_1, h_1, l_2 l_1).$ (5)

* Vertical composition. The vertical composition of two 3-morphisms (g_1, h_1, l_1) and (g_2, h_2, l_2) , when they are compatible, when $\partial_2^+(l_1) = \partial_2^-(l_2)$,



 $(g_2, h_2, l_2) \#_2(g_1, h_1, l_1) = (g_1, h_2 h_1, l_2(h_2 \triangleright' l_1)).$ (6)

* Whiskering of the 3-morphisms with morphisms. Whiskering of a 3-morphism by a morphism from the left is the composition of a volume $l \in L$ and curve $g_1 \in G$ from the left, when they are compatible, when $\partial_1^+(l) = \partial_1^-(g_1)$,



 $g_1 \#_1(g_2, h_1, l) = (g_1 g_2, g_1 \triangleright h, g_1 \triangleright l).$ (7)

One can whisker a 3-morphism by a morphism from the right, when they are compatible, $\partial_1^-(l) = \partial_1^+(g_2)$,



* Whiskering of 3-morphisms with 2-morphisms. Whiskering of a 3-morphism with a 2-morphisms from <u>below</u>, when they are compatible, $\partial_2^+(l) = \partial_2^-(h_2)$,



 $(g_1, h_1, l) \#_2(g_2, h_2) = (g_1, h_2 h_1, h_2 \triangleright' l).$ (9)

* Whiskering a 3-morphism by 2-morphism from <u>above</u>, when they are compatible, when $\partial_2^-(l) = \partial_2^+(h_1)$,



 $(g_1, h_1) \#_2(g_2, h_2, l) = (g_1, h_2 h_1, l).$ (10)

* The interchanging 3-arrow. The horizontal composition of two 2-morphisms h_1 and h_2 , when they are compatible, when $\partial_1^-(h_1) = \partial_1^+(h_2)$,



that results in a 3-morphism l, with source surface and target surfaces $\partial_3^-(l) = ((g_1, h_1) \#_1 g'_2) \#_2(g_1 \#_1(g_2, h_2)), \quad \partial_3^+(l) = (g'_1 \#_1(g_2, h_2)) \#_2((g_1, h_1) \#_1 g_2).$ One obtains,

$$(g_1, h_1) \#_1(g_2, h_2) = (g_1 g_2, h_1 g_1 \triangleright h_2, \{h_1, g_1 \triangleright h_2\}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1}).$$
(11)

Lemma

Let us consider a triangle, $(jk\ell)$. The edges (jk), j < k, are labeled by group elements $g_{jk} \in G$ and the triangle $(jk\ell), j < k < \ell$, by element $h_{jk\ell} \in H$.



The curve $\gamma_1 = g_{k\ell}g_{jk}$ is the source and the curve $\gamma_2 = g_{j\ell}$ is the target of the surface morphism $\Sigma: \gamma_1 \to \gamma_2$, labeled by the group element $h_{jk\ell}$,

$$g_{j\ell} = \partial(h_{jk\ell})g_{k\ell}g_{jk} \,. \tag{13}$$

Lemma

Let us consider a tetrahedron, $(jk\ell m)$.



 $= (g_{\ell m}g_{j\ell}, h_{j\ell m}) \#_2 (g_{\ell m} \#_1(g_{k\ell}g_{jk}, h_{jk\ell})) = (g_{\ell m}g_{k\ell}g_{jk}, h_{j\ell m}(g_{\ell m} \triangleright h_{jk\ell})).$



Moving from surface shown on the diagram (14) to the surface shown on the diagram (15) is determined by the group element $l_{jk\ell m}$,

$$h_{jkm}h_{k\ell m} = \delta(l_{jk\ell m})h_{j\ell m}(g_{\ell m} \triangleright h_{jk\ell}).$$
(16)

[3. C:\Program Files\Preliminaries\3-gauge theory.dll] $\$

Lemma (δ_L)

We consider a 4-simplex, $(jk\ell mn)$. We cut the 4-simplex volume along the surface $h_{jmn}g_{mn} \triangleright (h_{j\ell m}g_{\ell m} \triangleright h_{jk\ell})$.



Lemma (δ_L)

After the upward composition of these 3-morphisms, the obtained 3-morphism is the identity morphism with source and target surface $\mathcal{V}_1 = \mathcal{V}_2 = h_{jmn}g_{mn} \triangleright (h_{j\ell m}g_{\ell m} \triangleright h_{jk\ell})$,

 $l_{j\ell m n}^{-1} h_{j\ell n} \triangleright' \{h_{\ell m n}, (g_{m n} g_{\ell m}) \triangleright h_{jk\ell}\}_{\mathbf{p}} l_{jk\ell n}^{-1} (h_{jkn} \triangleright' l_{k\ell m n}) l_{jkm n} h_{jm n} \triangleright' (g_{m n} \triangleright l_{jk\ell m}) = e.$ (17)

>>> Quantization of the topological 3BF theory

We want to construct a state sum model from the classical S_{3BF} action by the usual spinfoam quantization procedure.

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}\alpha \, \mathcal{D}\beta \, \mathcal{D}\gamma \, \mathcal{D}B \, \mathcal{D}C \, \mathcal{D}D \, \exp\left(i \int_{M_4} \langle B \wedge \mathcal{F} \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} + \langle C \wedge \mathcal{G} \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}} + \langle D \wedge \mathcal{H} \rangle_{\mathfrak{l}}\right).$$
(18)

 \hookrightarrow The formal integration over the Lagrange multipliers B, C, and D leads to:

$$Z = \mathcal{N} \int \mathcal{D}\alpha \mathcal{D}\beta \mathcal{D}\gamma \ \delta(\mathcal{F})\delta(\mathcal{G})\delta(\mathcal{H}).$$
(19)

 $\begin{array}{l} \hookrightarrow \text{ Discretization of the 3-connection:} \\ \bullet \ \alpha \in \mathcal{A}^1(\mathcal{M}_4, \mathfrak{g}) \ \mapsto \ g_{\epsilon} \in G \ \text{coloring the edges} \ \epsilon = (jk) \in \Lambda_1, \\ \bullet \ \beta \in \mathcal{A}^2(\mathcal{M}_4, \mathfrak{h}) \ \mapsto \ h_{\Delta} \in H \ \text{coloring the triangles} \ \Delta = (jk\ell) \in \Lambda_2, \\ \bullet \ \gamma \in \mathcal{A}^3(\mathcal{M}_4, \mathfrak{l}) \ \mapsto \ l_{\tau} \in L \ \text{coloring the tetrahedrons} \ \tau = (jk\ell m) \in \Lambda_3. \end{array}$

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}\alpha & \mapsto & \prod_{(jk)\in\Lambda_1} \int_G dg_{jk} \\ \int \mathcal{D}\beta & \mapsto & \prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_2} \int_H dh_{jk\ell} \\ \int \mathcal{D}\gamma & \mapsto & \prod_{(jk\ell m)\in\Lambda_3} \int_L dl_{jk\ell m} \end{cases}$$
 \longrightarrow The disretization of path integral measures.

[4. C:\Program Files\Quantization of the topological 3BF theory.dll]\$

>>> Quantization of the toplogical 3BF theory

The condition $\delta(\mathcal{F})$ is disretized as $\delta(\mathcal{F}) = \prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_2} \delta_G(g_{jk\ell}), \qquad \delta_G(g_{jk\ell}) = \delta_G\left(\partial(h_{jk\ell}) g_{k\ell} g_{jk} g_{j\ell}^{-1}\right). \tag{20}$

 \hookrightarrow The condition $\delta(\mathcal{G})$ on the fake curvature 3-form reads

$$\delta(\mathcal{G}) = \prod_{(jk\ell m)\in\Lambda_3} \delta_H(h_{jk\ell m}), \qquad (21)$$

 $\delta_H(h_{jk\ell m}) = \delta_H\left(\delta(l_{jk\ell m})h_{j\ell m} \left(g_{\ell m} \triangleright h_{jk\ell}\right)h_{j\ell m}^{-1}h_{jkm}^{-1}\right).$ ⁽²²⁾

 \hookrightarrow The condition $\delta(\mathcal{H})$ is disretized as

$$\delta(\mathcal{H}) = \prod_{(jk\ell mn)\in\Lambda_4} \delta_L(l_{jk\ell mn}), \qquad (23)$$

 $\delta_{L}(l_{jk\ell mn}) = \delta_{L}\left(l_{j\ell mn}^{-1} h_{j\ell n} \triangleright' \left\{h_{\ell mn}, (g_{mn}g_{\ell m}) \triangleright h_{jk\ell}\right\} \operatorname{p} l_{jk\ell n}^{-1}(h_{jkn} \triangleright' l_{k\ell mn})l_{jkmn}h_{jmn} \triangleright' (g_{mn} \triangleright l_{jk\ell m})\right).$ $\tag{24}$

 \dots all off this \implies

$$Z = \mathcal{N}_{(jk)\in\Lambda_1} \int_G dg_{jk} \prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_2} \int_H dh_{jk\ell} \prod_{(jk\ellm)\in\Lambda_3} \int_L dl_{jk\ell m} \left(\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_2} \delta_G(g_{jk\ell})\right) \left(\prod_{(jk\ell m)\in\Lambda_3} \delta_H(h_{jk\ell m})\right) \left(\prod_{(jk\ell mn)\in\Lambda_4} \delta_L(l_{jk\ell mn})\right).$$
(25)
This expression can be made independent of the triangulation if one appropriately

[4. C:\Program Files\Quantization of the topological 3BF theory.dll]\$ _

Definition

Let \mathcal{M}_4 be a compact and oriented combinatorial 4-manifold, and $(L \xrightarrow{\delta} H \xrightarrow{\partial} G, \triangleright, \{_, _\}_{\mathrm{pf}})$ be a 2-crossed module. The state sum of topological higher gauge theory is defined by

$$Z = |G|^{-|\Lambda_{0}|+|\Lambda_{1}|-|\Lambda_{2}|}|H|^{|\Lambda_{0}|-|\Lambda_{1}|+|\Lambda_{2}|-|\Lambda_{3}|}|L|^{-|\Lambda_{0}|+|\Lambda_{1}|-|\Lambda_{2}|+|\Lambda_{3}|-|\Lambda_{4}|} \times \left(\prod_{(jk)\in\Lambda_{1}}\int_{G}dg_{jk}\right)\left(\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2}}\int_{H}dh_{jk\ell}\right)\left(\prod_{(jk\ellm)\in\Lambda_{3}}\int_{L}dl_{jk\ellm}\right) \times \left(\prod_{(jk\ell)\in\Lambda_{2}}\delta_{G}(\partial(h_{jk\ell})g_{k\ell}g_{jk}g_{j\ell}^{-1})\right)\left(\prod_{(jk\ellm)\in\Lambda_{3}}\delta_{H}(\delta(l_{jk\ellm})h_{j\ellm}(g_{\ell m} \triangleright h_{jk\ell})h_{k\ell m}^{-1}h_{jkm}^{-1})\right) \times \left(\prod_{(jk\ell mn)\in\Lambda_{4}}\delta_{L}\left(l_{j\ell mn}^{-1}h_{j\ell n} \triangleright' \{h_{\ell mn}, (g_{mn}g_{\ell m}) \triangleright h_{jk\ell}\}_{P}l_{jk\ell n}^{-1}(h_{jkn} \nu' l_{k\ell mn})l_{jkmn}h_{jmn} \nu' (g_{mn} \triangleright l_{jk\ell m})\right)\right).$$
(26)

Here $|\Lambda_0|$ denotes the number of vertices, $|\Lambda_1|$ edges, $|\Lambda_2|$ triangles, $|\Lambda_3|$ tetrahedrons, and $|\Lambda_4|$ 4-simplices of the triangulation.

>>> $1 \leftrightarrow 5$ Pachner move



Right side

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\text{right}}^{\lambda=5} &= |G|^{-11} |H|^{-4} |L|^{-1} \int_{G^5} \prod_{(jk)\in M_1} dg_{jk} \int_{H^{10}} \prod_{(jk\ell)\in M_2} dh_{jk\ell} \int_{L^{10}} \prod_{(jklm)\in M_3} dl_{jklm} \\ &\cdot \left(\prod_{(jk\ell)\in M_2} \delta_G(g_{jk\ell})\right) \left(\prod_{(jk\ell m)\in M_3} \delta_H(h_{jk\ell m})\right) \left(\prod_{(jk\ell mn)\in M_4} \delta_L(l_{jk\ell mn})\right) Z_{\text{regainder}} \,, \end{aligned}$$

$$(27)$$

Left side

$$Z_{\text{left}}^{1\leftrightarrow 5} = |G|^{-5} |H|^0 |L|^{-1} \delta_L(l_{23456}) Z_{\text{remainder}} \,.$$
(28)

The $Z_{\text{remainder}}$ denotes the part of the state sum that is the same on both sides of the move, and thus irrelevant for the proof of invariance.

>>> $2 \leftrightarrow 4$ and $3 \leftrightarrow 3$ Pachner moves

 $(1) \underbrace{(2) \quad (3)}_{(4) \quad (5)} (6)$

 $2 \leftrightarrow 4$



 $3 \leftrightarrow 3$



(6)

(3)

>>> Synopsis

- * 2-crossed modules and 3-gauge theory
- * Physically relevant models -The constrained 2BF actions describing the Yang-Mills field and Einstein-Cartan gravity, and constrained 3BF actions describing the Klein-Gordon, Dirac, Weyl and Majorana fields coupled to Yang-Mills fields and gravity in the standard way.
- * Starting from the notion of Lie 3-groups, we generalize the integral picture of gauge theory to a 3-gauge theory that involves curves, surfaces, and volumes labeled with elements of non-Abelian groups.
- * The definition of <u>the discrete state sum model of</u> topological higher gauge theory in dimension d=4.
- * We prove that the state sum is well defined, i.e., invariant under the Pachner moves and thus independent of the chosen triangulation.

>>> Synopsis

- * 2-crossed modules and 3-gauge theory
- * Physically relevant models -The constrained 2BF actions describing the Yang-Mills field and Einstein-Cartan gravity, and constrained 3BF actions describing the Klein-Gordon, Dirac, Weyl and Majorana fields coupled to Yang-Mills fields and gravity in the standard way.
- * Starting from the notion of Lie 3-groups, we generalize the integral picture of gauge theory to a 3-gauge theory that involves curves, surfaces, and volumes labeled with elements of non-Abelian groups.
- * The definition of <u>the discrete state sum model of</u> topological higher gauge theory in dimension d=4.

* We prove that the state sum is well defined, i.e., invariant under the Pachner moves and thus independent of the chosen triangulation.

Thank you for your attention!